The Plumbline



Fall 2010 Volume 17, No. 3

THE QUARTERLY BULLETIN OF THE SCOTTISH RITE RESEARCH SOCIETY





Betrayed by a Mason?

The Tragic Mission of Lt. Thomas Boyd

BY MICHAEL KARPOVAGE

OMENTS BEFORE DEPLOYING on the longest military campaign of the Revolutionary War, Freemason Thomas Boyd was given a final ultimatum by his repeatedly spurned and pregnant lover. In front of his superior officers she warned Boyd, a lieutenant with Morgan's Rifle Corps of the Continental Army, "If you go off without marrying me, I hope and pray to the great God of heaven that you will be tortured and cut to pieces by the savages." An embarrassed Boyd, his pride tarnished, responded by drawing his sword and threatening to stab her unless she removed herself.1 She acquiesced. Unfortunately for the young lieutenant, he should have heeded her ominous prediction for that was exactly the fate that befell him.

Thomas Boyd's death was one of the most heinous acts of torture and murder recorded during the Revolutionary War. Did it really occur because of the curse of a scorned lover? If you believe that centuries' old quote, "Heav'n has no rage, like love to hatred turn'd, nor Hell a fury like a woman scorn'd," then you might believe there was a divine retribution against Boyd.

But historical evidence, direct from battlefield participants, tells a different story. Boyd's death was not the result of a spurned lover's curse; rather it was a classic example of Masonic brothers pitted against each other on opposite sides of a battle. Their beliefs, duties, and loyalties were put to the ultimate test to uphold Freemasonry's most sacred tenet: relief of a distressed brother. For upon Boyd's capture at the end of his ill-fated final mission, he made the ultimate gesture of a Freemason when he feared for his life. He asked a highly unlikely enemy Freemason for protection and surprisingly he received it. However, his relief was short-lived when another enemy Freemason stepped into the picture. Soon thereafter Boyd experienced exceedingly brutal acts of torture and finally, death. And herein lies the question: was Thomas Boyd-along with the most sacred tenet of Freemasonry-deliberately betrayed by a fellow Mason whose loyalties to a King meant more than saving the life of a brother?

THE MISSION

In the summer of 1779, Major General John Sullivan marched his 5,000 Continentals into the Finger Lakes region of New York. Known as Sullivan's Expedition, it was ordered by General George Washington as an invasion into Iroquois Confederacy lands in retaliation for several brutal massacres by British Rangers and Indian warriors. This enemy force had conducted a terror campaign against American frontier settlements supporting the fledgling rebel army. Washington wanted all enemy villages and crops destroyed—a scorched earth policy to disrupt the Tory's, and their Indian allies' ability to wage war. Sullivan had,

for the last two months, executed his orders to the fullest by destroying over 40 villages and soundly defeating his enemy at the Battle of Newtown on the New York-Pennsylvania border. His foes had since retreated back into their wilderness lands. Leading Sullivan's troops, acting as his eyes and ears, were the famous scouts of Morgan's Rifles. Thomas Boyd led a company of these marksmen and pushed miles ahead of the main army on the heels of their fleeing enemy, sometimes entering villages where corn still boiled in a kettle.

On September 12, 1779 the army marched toward the Seneca Indian stronghold of Genesee Castle-also known as Little Beard's Town, after the Seneca chief who lived there. It was their last campaign objective. Upon reaching Conesus Lake the army halted and encamped because of a destroyed bridge over a marshy area. Across that bridge and leading west up a forested ravine-filled bluff ran several Indian trails to the objective. But the correct path remained unclear to Sullivan because of inaccurate maps and unreliable intelligence. A nighttime reconnaissance mission to locate the proper trail was ordered.

Sullivan knew the scout leader Boyd was a man of daring disposition and summoned him to his tent. He gave Boyd specific orders to select four of his most trustworthy scouts to locate the correct path to the objective, make no enemy con-

(See Betrayed, pg. 4)



The Plumbline

The Plumbline is published quarterly by the Scottish Rite Research Society, from the offices of:

The Supreme Council 1733 Sixteenth Street, NW Washington, DC 20009-3103

The opinions expressed in *The Plumbline* do not necessarily reflect those of the Scottish Rite Research Society, the Supreme Council, 33°, or their respective officers.

Articles, news items, and letters regarding *The Plumbline* should be sent to mhalleran@scottishrite.org. All submissions become the property of the Scottish Rite Research Society.

Editor of *The Plumbline*: Michael A. Halleran, 32°

Editor Emeritus of *The Plumbline*: Pierre G. "Pete" Normand Jr., 33°

Scottish Rite Research Society Managing Board:

President William Mollere, 33°, S.G.I.G., La.

Vice-President Robert G. Davis, 33°, G.C.

Editor of Heredom Robert G. Davis, 33°, G.C.

Secretary
Martin P. Starr, 33°

Membership information:

R. Hicks
1733 Sixteenth Street, NW
Washington, DC 20009–3103
Tel: 202-777-3147
Fax: 202-464-0487
E-mail: srrs@scottishrite.org

©2010 Scottish Rite Research Society. All Rights Reserved.

The Plumbline is designed by Elizabeth A. W. McCarthy in the office of The Scottish Rite Journal.

SRRS BULLETIN NOTES

ROBERT WOLFARTH TO ASSUME EDITOR'S DUTIES AT THE PLUMBLINE

Robert Wolfarth has succeeded Michael Halleran as editor of *The Plumbline*, following the annual meeting of the Board of Directors of the Scottish Rite Research Society in September. He has stepped into his new digs immediately. Halleran, who resigned due to commitments in the grand line of Kansas, will assist in the transition.

Wolfarth graduated from the University of Texas at Austin in 1986 with a double bachelor of arts in International Economics and Russian Language. After working six years in foreign policy/defense/intelligence for the US Congress in Washington (three years for the



Senate; three for the House of Representatives), he moved to Dallas, Austin, and then to Salt Lake City, pursuing a career in medical devices. Robert is currently the Director of Regulatory Affairs and Quality Assurance for a spine and orthopedic implant company.

"Meeting in a social setting, people usually break the ice by asking what I do for a living," says Robert. "But that's a poor definition of who I am." With no TV in his home and an insatiable curiosity about the world, Robert is always on the go, having traveled to over 25 countries and married in Edinburgh, Scotland. He has served as chairman of his church council, president of his homeowners association, and several other community functions. He and his wife, Jane, are fond of dinner guests, fine port, wry humor, mountain hikes, and music and food from around the world. The couple adopted a girl from Jiangxi, and two years later a boy from Gansu, China.

Robert is past-Venerable Chief of the Scots Guard (equivalent of KSA), a Knight Templar, Shriner, and a former Scottish Rite Fellow. He is the Expert in Utah's Jordan Lodge of Perfection, Junior Warden in one of his three blue lodges, and Secretary in another. He is also Vice President & Board member of the Salt Lake Masonic Temple Association, and serves on the Grand Lodge of Utah PR and Education Committees.

"The Plumbline has been the voice of the SRRS since 1991," says Wolfarth. "My goal is to present articles that are thought provoking, spark discussion among the brethren, and encourage further learning. Ultimately, *The Plumbline* must advance knowledge, both facts and philosophy. With that in mind, I will be seeking the finest articles from the Craft."

SOUTH CAROLINA MASONIC RESEARCH SOCIETY ANNOUNCES SYMPOSIUM

The South Carolina Masonic Research Society will hold its first symposium and banquet on April 22, 2011, in Charleston. The keynote speaker will be Michael A. Halleran, author of *The Better Angels of our Nature: Freemasonry in the American Civil War* and editor of *The Plumbline*. The event, held at the Omar Shrine Temple, 176 Patriots Point Street, Mt. Pleasant, South Carolina, convenes at 7:00 p.m. and includes dinner, a presentation on Civil War Freemasonry and a book signing. The cost is \$25.00 per person and the dress code will be formal or semi-formal. More information may be found at the SCMRS website: http://www.scmrs.org.

BOOK REVIEWS

TRACING BOARDS OF THE THREE DEGREES IN CRAFT FREEMASONRY EXPLAINED

By Julian Rees

THIS IS A VISUALLY sumptuous book with many detailed images of Masonic symbols—a feast for the eyes and imagination. But this is so much more that another pretty picture book. It gives the history of and explains one of the oldest teaching tools of Freemasonry: the tracing board.

Early lodges drew symbolic designs on their floors with chalk, and after the meeting Apprentices removed the "evidence" with mops. Eventually the emblems were painted on canvas in a more detailed and permanent form. There are well-known eighteenth century prints, such as those by Thomas Palser, showing these symbolic floor cloths in the center of the lodge room. Such designs often were used as the frontispieces of Masonic monitors, and the famous American printmakers, Cur-

rier and Ives, produced "The Masonic Chart" which was clearly based on earlier tracing boards.

In the US, the floor cloths became wall charts, moved to stereopticon slides (with individual slides devoted

to a single emblem), then to 35mm slides, and today are part of PowerPoint presentations. In England, Europe, and elsewhere, tracing boards remain framed symbolic images of the emblems of each degree. Bro. John Harris designed several sets of tracing boards, ultimately winning the 1845

contest by the Lodge of Emulation. His designs are perhaps the most popular and widely used in England, though there are many variants.

Bro. Julian has done a splendid job of gathering into one volume a history of tracing boards, the evolution of their designs, and gorgeous color examples of their varied forms. The reader can see the commonalities and points of uniqueness. In some cases the uniqueness comes from an artist's interpretation (or misinterpretation) and in others from different symbolic emblems. He shows gorgeous

oil paintings from some US lodges, tracing boards of Le Droit Humain, and beautiful, stylized designs from Hungary, Belgium, and Lady Frieda Harris. No doubt, many will want to reproduce some of the designs for their own lodges.

It's hard to say who will enjoy this book the most: historians of Masonry, students of symbolism, lovers of art, or those looking for a book for their coffee table. I guess the answer is for everyone to buy a copy—no one should be disappointed!

Lewis Masonic, 2009. ISBN 978 0 853183 24 1, 96 pp. \$22.95.

—Reviewed by S. Brent Morris

Back Volumes of

HEREDOM only \$10.00!



Since 1992 *Heredom* has published the finest research papers on fraternalism, Freemasonry, and the Scottish Rite. Back volumes have cost \$35—until now. The SRRS needs to reduce inventory as it moves to new storage facilities. SRRS members will have the first opportunity on these valuable books. *Order now; supplies are limited!* This offer is good while supplies last. Volumes 4, 6, 7, 9, and 10 of *Heredom* are available for \$10 each plus shipping and handling. (*Volumes 1–3, 5, 8, and 11–12 are sold out.*)

You must have your SRRS membership number to receive this discount.

Visit www.scottishritestore.org or call 1-866-445-9196 to place your order.

WHILE THEY LAST!

BETRAYED (Continued from pg. 1)

tact, and report back before daylight. Although described as reliable, courageous, and honorable, Boyd was also reckless, cocky, and overconfident. On this assignment his latter character traits resulted in a series of deadly mistakes. Instead of taking the specified four men, Boyd defied direct orders and took 26 men and two Oneida Indian guides—hardly the stealthy unit called for. This fateful decision led to the deaths of most of the men in his party.

On the opposite side of the battlefield, positioned in the area Boyd was about to penetrate was Colonel John Butler, a Tory and the leader of But-



A Brant Volunteer and a Butler Ranger. Painted by Garth Dittrick, 1984.

ler's Rangers. Butler was a Freemason. His unit was based out of Fort Niagara with an area of operations that included the western New York and the Pennsylvania wilderness. His son, Walter, was a captain with the Rangers and was notorious for his inhumane acts on battlefield victims. Allied with the Rangers were Brant's Volunteers, a contingent of Iroquois warriors and white Tory frontiersmen, led by Chief Joseph Brant, a

Mohawk Indian and captain in the British Army. He was also the first Native American on record to become a Freemason.³ Prior to their defeat at Newtown, the Rangers and Indians were undeniably the fiercest combination of guerilla fighters in the Revolutionary War. But Brant often did not get along with Butler, due to the barbarous acts at the hands of the younger Walter. Although they distrusted each other and vied for power, they worked for a common cause — to kill rebel soldiers and civilians who supported independence from King George III's colonies. During their reign of ter-

ror, these guerillas murdered, dismembered, scalped and kidnapped many American settlers. They slew livestock and burned down villages. Yet the leaders blamed each other for the atrocious acts of the troops under their command. They became infamous figures despised by the Americans, each earning a price on his head. It wasn't until the massacres at Wyoming Valley in Pennsylvania and Cherry Valley in New York that George Washington finally issued orders for an all-out campaign to destroy this continued threat. In his orders to Sullivan, Washington directed him "to lay waste all the settlements around...that the country may not be merely overrun but destroyed [emphasis in the original]." 4

Boyd's party of 29 scouts set out on the path west toward Genesee Castle on the early morning hours of September 13. At the same time, Butler and Brant had planned an ambush on that same bluff above Sullivan's army; when the engineers had fixed the bridge and the Continental troops proceeded up, they would pounce. After cresting the bluff, the trail split and fate took over. In the darkness, Boyd's men actually passed the Rangers and Indians on a parallel trail traveling in the opposite direction, neither knowing of the other's presence.

Six miles behind enemy lines—still in darkness—Boyd's party discovered a recently deserted Indian village—fires

still burning inside the huts. Fatigued from the long night march, they hunkered down for the night. When daylight broke, Boyd and his two best sharpshooters, the famous Indian fighter Timothy Murphy along with James Elliot, snuck close to the village and observed two Indians approaching. One Indian was on horseback. The other led a cow. Murphy and Elliot fired simultaneously. Murphy killed the walking Indian—an old Tuscarora. The other, though seriously wounded, escaped.⁵ Murphy then ran up on his victim and took his thirty-third scalp. It is not known if Boyd ordered his men to shoot. Regardless, contact with the enemy was made under his leadership. It would be his second fatal mistake. Realizing his position was compromised, he sent two runners back to report the location of the village, the killing of the Indian, and the return of his detachment.⁶

As the scouting party retraced their path back east and just two miles from the safety of the main army, Hon Yost, one of their experienced Oneida Indian guides, found a different trail leading off to the southeast. He urged Boyd to take this route instead of their original one north toward the ravine, but Boyd disregarded his advice.7 Their party then discovered five enemy Indians retreating from them in plain sight. Yost knew this was a ruse to invite pursuit and urged Boyd not to follow for fear of ambush. Again, Boyd overruled his guide—the third fatal error. The party gave chase and Murphy caught up and fired a ball in the trailing Indian's back. He then coolly pulled another scalp and stole his victim's leggings, which were of good scarlet colored cloth.8 The chase continued. The Indians successfully lured Boyd's party closer to their main force laying in wait for ambush not a mile up from the repaired bridge. Among the British forces, Butler heard the approaching firing on his right flank and thought his ambush had been discovered. He shifted his men and to his surprise found a rebel detachment running toward him. Before he was aware of it, Boyd blindly led his own men into



Morgan's Rifle Corps reenactor in similar dress of Boyd's scouts. Photo by Jim Filipski.

the embrace of 500 Rangers and Iroquois warriors; he was surrounded.

Boyd's party took cover in a small grove on a knoll with clear fields of fire. He realized his only chance of escape was to compact his force and strike in mass at a given point to cut through the enemy line. The first volley downed many of the enemy without the loss of a single scout. But the enemy ranks closed. After the second volley and return fire, the scouts started dropping. Hand-to-hand combat ensued. The firing was so close that the black powder of the enemy's muskets was driven into their flesh.9 With the majority of his men now dead and Boyd injured with a shot through his side, he ordered a final charge. Murphy led the rush and tumbled a larger Indian warrior who blocked his path before several of the scouts beat their way through and ran for their lives. Two Indians pursued Murphy; he eventually outran them but not before pausing to cut off his earlier stolen leggings, which proved too small and hampered his flight. Again set upon by another bloodthirsty warrior, Murphy put a ball through his breast, killing him instantly before finally reaching the safety of the main camp and raising the alarm. Two other scouts hid under a log in the tall grass back on the knoll and went unnoticed before also making their way back to the main army. 10 Boyd

tried to follow through the breach but his wound hindered his escape. He and two other men, Sergeant Michael Parker and their guide Yost, were taken prisoner. Yost's own Indian brother (who fought with Brant) recognized him and a confrontation ensued. Chief Little Beard stepped up and slammed a tomahawk in Yost's skull.11 He was then hacked to pieces by the vengeful Indians for being a traitor. It was at this moment that Boyd asked for an audience with Brant, whom he knew to be a Freemason, in a last desperate attempt to save himself from death. The Rangers and Indians ripped scalps off Boyd's fallen men, picked up their wounded and dead, and started a hasty retreat back to Genesee Castle. Boyd found himself in the clutches of his enemy and fearing for his life.

THE SIGN

Continental Army First Sergeant John Salmon, a friend and fellow soldier in Boyd's company, described the incident. "When Lieut. Boyd found himself a prisoner, he solicited an interview with Brant, whom he well knew commanded the Indians. This chief, who was at that moment near, immediately presented himself; when Lieut. Boyd, by one of those appeals which are known only by those who have been initiated and instructed in certain mysteries, and which never fail to bring succor to a 'distressed brother', addressed him as the only source from which he could expect a respite from cruel punishment or death. The appeal was recognized, and Brant immediately, and in the strongest language, assured him that his life should be spared."12

This account was confirmed by Brant's adopted nephew and close confidant British Major John Norton is his 1816 journal when he wrote, "Capt. Brant used every endeavour to save Capt. Boyd." ¹³

Salmon's well-documented letter, one of the main sources of Boyd's Masonic appeal, appeared in the appendix of *Life of Mary Jemison, the White Woman*, a best-selling memoir originally printed in 1824. It was said, but not proven, that Salmon was one of the scouts

who escaped the ambush. But there is no evidence to suggest he was an actual witness to this important exchange, rather he may have simply passed the story along. However, Mary Jemison, who was kidnapped at the age of 15 and raised by the Seneca Indians, was living at Little Beard's Town at the time. She knew the Butlers, Brant, and many of the Rangers and Indian warriors. She was 36 years old when this incident occurred and did witness the torture deaths of Boyd and Parker. Her account corroborates Salmon's letter.

Boyd's gamble that Brant would uphold the sacred tenet of the fraternity was well founded. Brant was known as a worthy brother Mason who kept his word through his actions. Raised and educated in Tory schools, Brant was a man of many quality attributes: civilized, intelligent, charismatic, and a persuasive English orator. He was also a brave and brutal war chief, a battlefield leader of men, and loyal to the British cause. He was considered the epitome of the noble savage and had even visited London where he was received as the "Indian King." On April 26, 1776 Brant was initiated into the fraternity at Hiram's Cliftonian Lodge No. 417 in Leicester Fields, London. 14 He had the distinct honor of having his Masonic apron presented to him from the hand of King George III himself.¹⁵

Brant's legend of upholding Masonic honor on the battlefield came from an earlier incident following the Battle of the Cedars in late May 1776. He was alleged to have saved a rebel Mason from execution after the surrender of American troops. Captain John McKinstry, wounded and facing burning at the stake, recalled that Brant was a Freemason and gave the Masonic sign of a brother in distress. Brant accepted the sign and secured McKinstry's release and resulting fair treatment. After the war they remained good friends. Brant was even honored at McKinstry's Hudson Lodge No. 13 in Hudson, NY.16 Members of McKinstry's family vouched for this story during author William Stone's research for his biography *Life of Joseph Brant-Thayendanegea*. However, there is one major fallacy to this account: Joseph Brant was clearly in England at the time! In fact, he was about to set sail from Falmouth on the merchantman *Lord Hyde*. He arrived back to British-held Staten Island in New York on July 29, 1776.¹⁷ Another possible explanation was that the saving of McKinstry did not occur at Cedars in Canada but instead at



the Battle of Oriskany in New York on August 6, 1777 where Brant was definitely present on scene.

Two more stories were attributed to Brant saving his rebel prisoners before death. One story came directly from Lieutenant Jonathan Maynard. On May 30, 1778 he was captured by Brant's Mohawks and said that Brant recognized a Masonic tattoo on his arm and interceded. The other story revolved around Captain John Wood on July 22, 1779. He was the sole survivor of 40 dead after an engagement between Brant's Indians and American

militia on the Delaware River. After being taken prisoner he supposedly gave the Masonic hail sign and Brant personally intervened and saved him, as well. However, after finding later that Wood was in fact not a Mason Brant treated him with contempt. Years later, Wood's son said that his father had inadvertently given the signal. In both cases, Brant sent the prisoners of war to Canada where they were held for several months and then exchanged for British prisoners. One of the first acts that Wood did upon his return was to become a Freemason. 20

THE TORTURE

Boyd and Parker were escorted back to Genesee Castle where the rest of the Rangers and Indians had retreated after Sullivan's forces responded to Boyd's surprise ambush. Inexplicably, their protector Brant *disappeared* from the scene. Salmon described what happened next,

After their arrival at Beard's Town, Brant, their generous preserver, being called on service which required a few hours' absence, left them in the care of the British Colonel, Butler, of the Rangers-who, as soon as Brant had left them, commenced an interrogation to obtain from the prisoners a statement of the number, situation, and intentions of the army under Gen. Sullivan; and threatened them, in case they hesitated or prevaricated in their answers, to deliver them up immediately to be massacred by the Indians, who, in Brant's absence, and with the encouragement of their more savage commander, Butler, were ready to commit the greatest cruelties. Relying, probably, on the promises which Brant had made them, and which he undoubtedly meant to fulfill, they refused to give Butler the desired information. Butler, upon this, hastened to put his threat into execution. They were delivered to some of their most ferocious enemies, who, after having put them to very severe torture, killed them by severing their heads from their bodies.21

In the recollection of eyewitness Mary Jemison, 80 years old during interviews for her memoir, she described the torture of Boyd: Little Beard, in this as in all other scenes of cruelty that happened at his town, was master of ceremonies, and principal actor. Poor Boyd was stripped of his clothing, and then tied to a sapling; where the Indians menaced his life, by throwing their tomahawks at the tree directly over his head, brandishing their scalpingknives around him in the most frightful manner, and accompanying their ceremonies with terrific shouts of joy. Having punished him sufficiently in this way, they made a small opening in his abdomen, took out an intestine, which they tied to the sapling, and then unbound him from the tree, and drove him round it, till he had drawn out the whole of his intestines. He was then beheaded, his head was stuck upon a pole, and his body left on the ground unburied. Thus ended the life of poor Thomas Boyd, who, it was said, had every appearance of being an active and enterprising officer, of the first talents. The other was, if I remember distinctly, only beheaded, and left near Boyd.22

A more dramatic account described the torture in even greater detail.

Wearied at length of this work a single blow severed Parker's head from his body, and mercifully ended his misery. Poor Thomas however was reserved for a worse fate. An incision was made in his abdomen and a severed intestine was fastened to a tree. He was then scourged with prickly ash boughs, and compelled to move around until the pain was so great that he could go no farther. Again pinioned his mouth was enlarged with a knife, his nails dug out, his tongue cut away, his ears severed from his head, his nose hewn off and thrust into his mouth, his eyes dug out and the flesh cut from his shoulder, and then sinking in death after their enormities, he was decapitated and his disfigured head after being partly skinned raised by the frenzied savages upon a sharpened pole and a knife stuck into body when it was found.23

THE BETRAYAL?

Was this atrocity the result of a deliberate act of betrayal? There is substantial evidence to suggest that Boyd was not

the resolute Patriot he was portrayed to be but instead broke under interrogation after being threatened with death. According to this letter excerpt from Butler to the commandant of Fort Niagara, Lieutenant Colonel Mason Bolton, on the day *after* the killings Butler specifically reported,

I found that a Scout of the Rebels, 30 in number had fallen in with the Right of our Line, and 22 of them been killed by the Rangers & Indians in that Quarter. A Lieut. who commanded the Party and a Private were taken. The Officer who is a very intelligent Person Says, their Army consists of near 5000 Continental Troops- 1500 of which are Rifle Men, commanded by General Sullivan and Brigadiers Hand, Poor and Clinton. They have but a month's Provisions, and intend, according to his account, to come no further than Genesee- They have four Pieces of Cannon (the largest a Six Pounder) a Cohorn [mortar] and a Howitzer- They are building a strong Fort at Tioga and mean to keep a large Garrison there.24

Butler clearly stated that Boyd gave him the intelligence, which refuted Salmon's account. So why then, if Butler gained this crucial intelligence, would he still turn Boyd over to the Indians? Some historians suggested that in the absence of Brant he was forced to hand the captives over. It is possible he could not control the Indians who were intent on revenge.²⁵ However, if that were the case, it begs the question: why didn't the Indians allow Boyd and Parker to leave the ambush alive?

Another theory claimed the Indians wanted revenge on Boyd specifically for the "unnecessary and cruel" killing of the old Tuscarora by Murphy in the deserted village. Yet the Indians themselves killed men, women, and children by the same means. They stalked them unseen, put a bullet or knife in their back, and then scalped them to show how brave they were. No historical evidence backs up this claim.

However, the evidence clearly shows that Butler knew of Boyd's Masonic pro-

tection by the following justification: he said that any Masonic obligations were overruled by the duty of an army officer to serve his King, and must not be invoked to protect rebels.26 This was in direct defiance of the sworn obligation of a Freemason to never deprive a fellow brother of his life or property, regardless of state loyalties. The "savage" Brant comprehended and embraced that tenet. Butler did not. He never played by the rules. He saw only one loyalty and that was to the British monarchy. He and his son Walter had never confirmed nor denied responsibility of Boyd and Parker's deaths. According to Isabel Thompson Kelsay's biography, hawked him."²⁹ Why fabricate this story when many individuals witnessed and participated in the actual torture killing? Was this a way to cover the betrayal he committed? The Continental soldiers who found the bodies the next day wrote of the many marks of torture inflicted, but none remarked on a single tomahawk wound.

However way this tragedy is viewed, whether it was a woman's curse or Boyd's fatal mistakes, the historical evidence showed that Butler was the key person ultimately responsible for sentencing Boyd to death by the Indians. Ironically, the one person who tried to save Boyd's life was an Indian.

However way this tragedy is viewed, whether it was a woman's curse or Boyd's fatal mistakes, the historical evidence showed that Butler was the key person ultimately responsible for sentencing Boyd to death by the Indians. Ironically, the one person who tried to save Boyd's life was an Indian.

Joseph Brant, Man of Two Worlds, "Butler said nothing, then or later. The two Butlers, father and son, never wanted to talk about atrocities they had seen."²⁷

In his 1901 book *The Mohawk Valley*, author W. Max Reid issued a scathing indictment of Butler and his son.

When their acts are compared with those of Joseph Brant, their deeds are the deeds of savages, and Brant's the acts of a noble, generous man. The Butlers appear to have been, not only arrogant and supercilious in a high degree, but barbarous, treacherous, revengeful, ferocious, merciless, brutal, diabolically wicked and cruel; with the spirit of fiends they committed cruelties worthy of the dungeons of the Inquisition. No wonder their lives are not attractive to historians.²⁸

Butler made another exculpatory statement. He claimed that after the examination Boyd was escorted to Niagara, but as he passed through Genesee, "an old Indian rushed out and tomaThe day after this tragedy the Continentals entered Genesee Castle. There, as dogs gnawed at the remains, they found the mutilated bodies of Boyd and Parker. They were given a ceremony and buried on the bank of Beard's Creek under a copse of wild plum trees. Sullivan gave orders to burn everything in sight. Over 120 houses and several hundred acres of crops were razed. The long arduous campaign was over. It forever broke the back of the once mighty Iroquois Confederacy.

Thomas Boyd's remains and the bodies of his fallen men were disinterred and transported to Rochester, NY in 1841 where they were reburied with honor on Patriots Hill in Mount Hope Cemetery.

Michael Karpovage is the author of the mystery thriller Crown of Serpents, the backstory of which involves the death of Lt. Thomas Boyd. Karpovage is a graduate of Rochester Institute of Technology and a member of Hobasco Lodge No. 716 in Ithaca, NY. He now resides in Atlanta, GA. Visit crownofserpents.com. »

ENDNOTES

- 1. W.P. Boyd, "The Life and Parentage of Lieut. Thomas Boyd Who Was Massacred Near Cuylerville, September 13, 1779" (abstracted from a paper that appeared in the published minutes for the thirteenth annual meeting of the Livingston County Historical Society, Livonia, NY, Tuesday, January 8, 1889).
- 2. William Congreve in *The Mourning Bride* of 1697.
- 3. Albert G. Mackey, *Encyclopedia of Freemasonry, Part 1* (Chicago: The Masonic History Company, 1909), 482.
- 4. John C. Fitzpatrick, ed., *The Writings of Washington from the Original Manuscript Sources*, 1745-1799 (New York: Greenwood Press, 1970), 190.
- 5. John Joseph Henry, *Journal of John Joseph Henry, Esq. Campaign Against Quebec in 1775* (Lancaster: William Greer, 1812), 117.
- 6. William Barton, Journal of Lieutenant William Barton (published in Proceedings of the New Jersey Historical Society, Volume II, 1846-7), 11.
- 7. John Sullivan, Major General Sullivan's Official Report (republished from a reprint of the Maryland Journal and Baltimore Advertiser, October, 1779), 300.

- 8. John Niles Hubbard, *Sketches of Border Adventures in the Life and Times of Major Moses Van Campen* (Bath: R.L. Underhill & Co., 1842), 164. Firsthand survivor account of the Boyd ambush received immediately by Van Campen upon return of Timothy Murphy.
- 9. A. Tiffany Norton, *History of Sullivan's Campaign Against the Iroquois* (Lima: Published by the author, 1879), 155.
 - 10. Norton, 157.
- 11. Edward Eggleston and Elizabeth Eggleston Seelye, *Brant and Red Jacket* (New York: Dodd, Mead & Company, 1879), 282.
- 12. Ralph Adams Brown, ed., *Notices of Sullivan's Campaign, or the Revolutionary Warfare in Western New York* (Port Washington: Kenikat Press, 1970, first published in 1842), 173.
- 13. John Norton, *The Journal of Major John Norton*, 1816 (Toronto: Champlain Society, 1970), 277.
 - 14. Mackey, 482.
- 15. George L. Marshall, Jr., "Chief Joseph Brant: Mohawk, Loyalist, and Freemason," *Early America Review* (1998), http://www.earlyamerica.com/review/1998/brant.html
 - 16. Marshall.
- 17. Isabel Thompson Kelsay, *Joseph Brant* 1743-1807 Man of Two Worlds (New York: Syracuse University Press, 1984), 175.

- 18. William R. Denslow, 10,000 Famous Freemasons (Richmond: Macoy Publishing & Masonic Supply Co., Inc., 1957), 156, 178.
 - 19. Kelsay, 251.
- 20. William L. Stone, Life of Joseph Brant-Thayendanegea (Albany: J. Munsell, 1865), 419.
 - 21. Brown, 174.
- 22. James E. Seaver, *Life of Mary Jemison, the White Woman* (Buffalo: Matthews Bros. & Bryant, 1880, first published in 1824), 121, 122.
 - 23. Boyd.
- 24. Division of Archives and History, *The Sullivan-Clinton Campaign in 1779, Chronology and Selected Documents* (Albany: University of the State of New York, 1929), 148, 149.
 - 25. Kelsay, 267.
- 26. Jasper Ridley, *The Freemasons* (New York: Arcade Publishing, Inc., 1999), 103.
 - 27. Kelsay, 267.
- 28. William Max Reid, *The Mohawk Valley: Its Legend and Its History* (New York: The Knickerbock Press, 1901), 227.
- 29. William W. Campbell, Annals of Tryon County; or, The Border Warfare of New York, During the Revolution (Cherry Valley: Cherry Valley Gazette Print, 1880), 137.

FPO*

FPO

FPO

FPO

FPO

Indocti discant, ament meminisse periti. "Let the unlearned learn, let the experts love to remember."



SCOTTISH RITE RESEARCH SOCIETY 1733 SIXTEENTH STREET, NW WASHINGTON, DC 20009-3103

.....